

**From:** [ANDERSON Jim M](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [MCCLINCY Matt](#); [LACEY David](#)  
**Cc:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Revised RPAC Letter  
**Date:** 11/21/2008 10:54 AM

---

Eric,  
Thanks for giving us the opportunity to review EPA's revised letter.  
Matt is out today. We should be able to get back to you early next  
week.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2008 10:10 AM  
To: MCCLINCY Matt; LACEY David; ANDERSON Jim M  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Revised RPAC Letter

Attached is the revised RPAC letter. It goes to SLLI. It references  
the discussions we had with SLLI in 2007. Upon reflection, I believe we  
should use the GASCO model for this work. It seems to match the  
agreement we had in 2007 and the fact that the evaluation was sent to  
DEQ.

Please let me know your thoughts.

Thanks, Eric

(See attached file: RPACLetter112108.doc)